

बिहार चिकित्सा सेवाएँ एवं आधारभूत संरचना निगम के वित्तीय निविदा समिति के बैठक की कार्यवाही

File No.- BMSIC/75030/14-2016/

NIT No.- BMSICL/Infra/14/2016

आज दिनांक 27/01/2017 को प्रबंध निदेशक, BMSICL की अध्यक्षता में वित्तीय निविदा समिति की बैठक आयोजित की गई जिसमें निम्नलिखित पदाधिकारी उपस्थित हुए:-

- (1) श्री प्रदीप कुमार झा, मा.प्र.से., प्रबंध निदेशक-सह-अध्यक्ष वित्तीय निविदा समिति, BMSICL, Patna
- (2) श्री अनिल कुमार, आंतरिक वित्तीय सलाहकार, स्वास्थ्य विभाग
- (3) श्री हरीश कुमार, मुख्य महाप्रबंधक, परियोजना, BMSICL, Patna
- (4) श्री सुधीर कुमार, महाप्रबंधक वित्त, BMSICL, Patna
- (5) श्री सुधीर कुमार, वरीय उपसमाहर्ता, प्रतिनिधि कार्यपालक निदेशक, राज्य स्वास्थ्य समिति
- (6) श्री संजीव रंजन, G.M. Projects & Design, BMSICL, Patna


इस बैठक में निम्न कार्य के वित्तीय निविदा पर विचार-विमर्श किया गया:-

1. कार्य का नाम – **Construction of Surgical Block Building (S+4) at DMCH Laheriasarai, Darbhanga, Bihar** (निविदा सूचना संख्या –14/2016, बी.ओ.क्यू. राशि ₹132,51,67,169) :- इस कार्य हेतु निविदा आमंत्रण सूचना संख्या BMSICL/Infra/14/2016 दिनांक 08/06/2016 के माध्यम से निविदा आमंत्रित की गई जिसमें कुल सात निविदाएँ प्राप्त हुई।

तकनीकी निविदा समिति की बैठक दिनांक 11/11/2016 (कार्यवाही अनुलग्न) में इस कार्य हेतु छः निविदादाता की निविदा को Responsive मानते हुए वित्तीय निविदा खोलने की अनुशंसा की गई। उक्त के आलोक में दिनांक 15/11/2016 को निविदादाता की वित्तीय निविदा ई-प्रोक के वेबसाइट पर खोली गई।

वित्तीय निविदा समिति की बैठक दिनांक 15/12/2016 (कार्यवाही अनुलग्न) में इस कार्य का आवंटन प्रथम न्यूनतम निविदादाता **आदयाराज माइक्रो रंजन कंस्ट्रक्शन (JV) विष्णु पैलेस, पूर्वी बोरिंग कैनल रोड, पटना** को बी.ओ.क्यू. की राशि से 4.37% (चार दशमलव तीन सात प्रतिशत) कम यथा कुल राशि ₹126,72,11,100/- (एक सौ छब्बीस करोड़ बहत्तर लाख ग्यारह हजार एक सौ रुपये मात्र) पर कार्य आवंटित करने का निर्णय लिया गया। साथ ही समिति द्वारा यह निर्णय लिया गया कि वित्तीय निविदा समिति की इस कार्यवाही को विभागीय वेबसाइट पर रखा जाए एवं दिनांक 03/01/2017 के पूर्वाह्न 11 बजे तक इस निविदा से संबंधित किसी प्रकार की अभ्यावेदन/आपत्ति प्राप्त होती है तो पुनः वित्तीय निविदा समिति के समक्ष रखी जाए। निर्धारित तिथि एवं समय तक कोई अभ्यावेदन/आपत्ति प्राप्त नहीं होने पर नियमानुसार प्रथम न्यूनतम निविदादाता **आदयाराज माइक्रो रंजन कंस्ट्रक्शन (JV) विष्णु पैलेस, पूर्वी बोरिंग कैनल रोड, पटना** को कार्य आवंटन का Letter of Acceptance निर्गत किया जा सकता है।

वित्तीय निविदा समिति की उक्त कार्यवाही को विभागीय वेबसाइट पर अपलोड किया गया जिस पर इस निविदा के एक अन्य निविदादाता सुनील हाईटेक इंजिनियर्स लि०, नागपुर ने अपने पत्रांक **SHEL/MUM/BMSICL/2017/V33606401** दिनांक-02/01/2017 द्वारा आदयाराज माइक्रोरंजन J.V. के



548

वित्तीय निविदा की गणना अपने स्तर से करते हुए कुल निवेदित राशि ₹130,26,98,141/- बताते हुए स्वयं को प्रथम न्यूनतम निविदादाता बताया गया है (प्राप्त पत्र की छायाप्रति संलग्न)। उक्त फर्म द्वारा यह भी अनुरोध किया गया है कि "Under Such Circumstances, you are requested to review the bid of the aforesaid M/s Adyaraj Microranjan "JV" and our bid being the lowest amongst the responsive bids, the tender may kindly be awarded to us. We humbly yet firmly submit that in the event of the aforesaid not being done, we will be constraint, much against our wishes to seek legal recourse in the matter."


सुनील हाईटेक इंजिनियर्स लि०, नागपुर से प्राप्त अभ्यावेदन पर वित्तीय निविदा समिति की दिनांक 12/01/2017 की बैठक में सम्यक विचारोपरांत यह निर्णय लिया गया कि दोनों निविदादाता द्वारा समर्पित किए गए वित्तीय निविदा पर विधिक मंतव्य प्राप्त कर लेना श्रेयस्कर होगा। उक्त के आलोक में श्री विश्वरूप झा (कानूनी सलाहकार, BMSICL, पटना), द्वारा यह सलाह दिया गया कि "From Perusal of the financial bid sheet of L1 bidder M/s Adyaraj Microranjan Construction JV, Patna, it is clearly evident that there is absolutely no confusion with regard to the grand total tendered amount of Rs. 126,72,11,100/- as well as the group A tendered rate being 4.5% below to the BOQ rate but, there is paltry discrepancy in making entries in the appropriate columns which appears to be made inadvertently. Defects as pointed out in the financial bid of L1 bidder lacks substace and it is merely hyper technical in nature."


उपर्युक्त वर्णित प्राप्त कानूनी सलाह पर सम्यक विचारोपरांत समिति द्वारा सर्वसम्मति से यह निर्णय लिया गया कि सुनील हाईटेक इंजिनियर्स लि०, नागपुर द्वारा दिया गया आपत्ति स्वीकार योग्य नहीं है।


अतः इस कार्य का आवंटन प्रथम न्यूनतम निविदादाता आदयाराज माइक्रो रंजन कंस्ट्रक्शन (JV) विष्णु पैलेस, पूर्वी बोरिंग कैनल रोड, पटना को बी.ओ.क्यू. की राशि से 4.37% (चार दशमलव तीन सात प्रतिशत) कम यथा कुल राशि ₹126,72,11,100/- (एक सौ छब्बीस करोड़ बहत्तर लाख ग्यारह हजार एक सौ रुपये मात्र) पर आवंटित करने का निर्णय लिया गया।


अंत में धन्यवाद ज्ञापन के साथ बैठक की कार्यवाही समाप्त की गई।


अनुलग्नक— श्री विश्वरूप झा (कानूनी सलाहकार, BMSICL, पटना) से प्राप्त कानूनी सलाह की छायाप्रति (तीन पृष्ठों में)।



(संजीव रंजन)
महाप्रबंधक, (परि. एवं निरु.)
BMSICL, Patna


(सुधीर कुमार)
महाप्रबंधक, (वित्त)
BMSICL, Patna


(सुधीर कुमार)
वरीय उपसमाहर्ता
राज्य स्वास्थ्य समिति, पटना


(हरीश कुमार)
मुख्य महाप्रबंधक (परियोजना)
BMSICL, Patna


(अनिल कुमार)
आंतरिक वित्तीय सलाहकार
स्वास्थ्य विभाग, पटना


(प्रदीप कुमार झा, भा.प्र.से.)
प्रबंध निदेशक
BMSICL, Patna

547

544

File No.BMSIC/75030/14-2016/NIT No.-14 has been entrusted to me for recording legal opinion on the financial bid relating to NIT No. BMSICL/infra/14/2016 dated 8.6.2016 in the light of the complaint submitted by one of the bidders namely, Sunil Hitech Engineers Limited, Nagpur.

Background facts in nutshell is, that the BMSICL invited tender through NIT No. BMSICL/infra/14/2016 dated 8.6.2016 for construction of Surgical Block Building at Darbhanga Medical College & Hospital, Laheriasaria with B.O.Q. amount of Rs. 132,51,67,169/-. In response to above, seven tenders were submitted and thereafter, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting dated 11.11.2016 declared six technical bids to be substantially responsive to the requirements of the bidding documents and accordingly, recommended for opening of their financial bids. Thereafter, on 15.11.2016 the financial bids were opened in which the tender of the bidder M/S ADYARAJ MACRO RANJAN CONSTRUCTION (JV), Patna with a total amount of Rs. 126,72,11,100/-was found to be lowest and it was 4.37% less than the B.O.Q. amount i.e. 132,51,67,169/-(One hundred thirty two crore fifty one lac sixty seven thousand one hundred sixty nine only).

Thereafter, the bidder Sunil Hitech vide Letter dated 21.11.2016 raised objection against the financial bid sheet of the L1 bidder M/S ADYARAJ MACRO RANJAN CONSTRUCTION (JV), alleging it to be non responsive and so it should be rejected.

Thereafter, the Financial Bid Committee in its meeting dated 15.12.2016 considered the objection of the bidder Sunil Hitech Engineers Limited, Nagpur and found the same to be unacceptable but, simultaneously, the Committee also took a decision to upload the decision of the Financial Bid Committee on the departmental website and if any, objection is received till 3.1.2017 then, the matter will again be put-up for consideration before the Financial Bid Committee.

Thereafter, the bidder Sunil Hitech has submitted an objection-cum-representation dated 2.1.2017 to the Chief General Manager, BMSICL, Patna

1/3

requesting for rejection of the financial bid sheet of M/S ADYARAJ MACRO RANJAN CONSTRUCTION (JV) as non responsive and awarding the tender in their favour. In the aforesaid objection petition, it has been pointed out that the financial bid sheet of L1 M/S ADYARAJ MACRO RANJAN CONSTRUCTION (JV), Patna suffers from discrepancy and the quoted amount in Group-A (SI item) is AT PAR with the estimated rate and not 4.5% below as mentioned in the column 1(c) of the financial bid sheet of L1 bidder. Further contention is that the Grand total of Group- A and Group- B as quoted in the column 1 (g) and column 1(b) of financial bid sheet works out to be amount 78,86,00,910 + 51,40,97,231/- is equal to 130,26,98,141/- and not 126,72,11,100/- as mentioned against column 1(f). In view of the aforementioned discrepancies in the financial bid sheet, request has been made for rejecting the financial bid of L1 bidder M/S ADYARAJ MACRO RANJAN CONSTRUCTION (JV), Patna as non responsive.

Now, in view of the above background facts, the question needs to be considered here is that as to whether the financial bid of the L1 bidder is substantially responsive conforming to all the terms, conditions and specifications of the bidding documents without material deviation or reservation?

After careful examination of the facts, documents, terms and conditions of the Contract, I am of the clear opinion that the financial bid of L1 bidder M/S ADYARAJ MACRO RANJAN CONSTRUCTION (JV), Patna cannot be rejected on the basis of being determined as non responsive.

It is pointed out that the determination of responsiveness of a bid is required to be assessed on the basis of the relevant conditions of the Contract as provided under **clause 25. Clause 25.2** of the general conditions of the contract lays down that a substantially responsive financial bid is one which conforms to all the terms, conditions and specifications of the bidding documents, without material deviation or reservation. A material deviation or reservation is one (a) which affects in any substantial bid the scope, quality or performance of the works; (b) which limits in any substantial bid inconsistent with the bidding documents, the Employer's rights or bidder obligations under the Contract; or (c) whose rectification would affect

545

unfairly the competitive position of other Bidders presenting substantially responsive bids.

From perusal of the financial bid sheet of L1 Bidder M/S ADYARAJ MACRO RANJAN CONSTRUCTION (JV), Patna, it is clearly evident that there is absolutely no confusion with regard to the Grand total tendered amount of 126,72,11,100/- as well as the Group-A tendered rate being 4.50% below to the B.O.Q. rate but, there is paltry discrepancy in making entries in the appropriate columns which appears to be made inadvertently. Defects as pointed out in the financial bid of L1 Bidder lacks substance and it is merely hyper technical in nature.

Moreover, from bare examination of the financial bid sheet, it clearly evident that the tendered amount for Group-A (SI item) has been mentioned in percentage i.e. 4.50% below the B.O.Q. rate, meaning thereby if, it is calculated then, the figure comes to Rs.75,31,13,869/- and this amount when added with 51,40,97,231/-(Group- B item rate) then, the grand total amount of Group-A + Group-B comes to 126,72,11,100/-(One hundred twenty six crore seventy two lac eleven thousand and hundred only). That is why, the Financial Bid Committee did not have any confusion over the total bid amount of Rs. 126,72,11,100/-(One hundred twenty six crore seventy two lac eleven thousand and hundred only) and if, it would not have been the case then, the Financial Bid Committee would have definitely sought clarification from the Bidder in question in terms of **clause 24.1** of the conditions of the Contract.

I opine accordingly, as above.

3/3

Vishwaroop Jha
Vishwaroop Jha
(Legal Advisor)
BMSICL, Patna